arXiv:1609.02589v1 [math.MG] 8 Sep 2016

Barycenters in uniformly convex geodesic spaces

Laurenţiu Leuştean[1,2], Adriana Nicolae[3], Alexandru Zaharescu[2,4]

[1] Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Bucharest,
Academiei 14, P. O. Box 010014, Bucharest, Romania

[2] Simion Stoilow Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy,
P. O. Box 1-764, RO-014700 Bucharest, Romania

[3] Department of Mathematics, Babeş-Bolyai University,
Kogălniceanu 1, 400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania

[4] Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign,
1409 W. Green Street, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

E-mails: laurentiu.leustean@unibuc.ro, anicolae@math.ubbcluj.ro, zaharesc@illinois.edu

Abstract

This note proves a result on the existence of barycenters in a class of uniformly convex geodesic spaces.

1 Introduction

Let (X,d) be a metric space. For $1 \leq \theta < \infty$, denote by $\mathcal{P}^\theta(X)$ the set of all probability measures P on $(X,\mathcal{B}(X))$ which satisfy

$$\int_X d^\theta(x,y)d(Py) < \infty \quad \text{for some (and hence any) } x \in X. \tag{1}$$

We will mainly be interested in the cases $\theta = 1$ and $\theta = 2$.

Let $P \in \mathcal{P}^1(X)$ and fix $a \in X$. Following [14], define the function

$$f_a : X \to \mathbb{R}, \quad f_a(x) = \int_X \big(d^2(x,y) - d^2(y,a)\big)d(Py).$$

The fact that $\int_X \big(d^2(x,y) - d^2(y,a)\big)d(Py) < \infty$ for all $x \in X$ is immediate, hence f_a is well-defined. Furthermore, f_a is continuous.

In [14], Sturm proved the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *[14, Proposition 4.3]*
Let (X,d) be a complete CAT(0) space and $P \in \mathcal{P}^1(X)$. Then for all $a \in X$, the

function f_a has a unique minimum point which does not depend on a, is called the barycenter *of P and is denoted by $b(P)$. Thus,*

$$b(P) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{x\in X} \int_X \big(d^2(x,y) - d^2(y,a)\big) d(Py).$$

If $P \in \mathcal{P}^2(X)$, then

$$b(P) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{x\in X} \int_X d^2(x,y) d(Py).$$

Barycenters in geodesic spaces have been studied by various authors assuming different regularity conditions on the space. For instance, Ohta [12] considered proper Alexandrov spaces of curvature bounded below, while Kell [9] and Kuwae [10] imposed certain uniform convexity assumptions. Other notions of barycenters and applications thereof to ergodic theory were given, for example, by Austin [1] and Navas [11].

In this note we prove that Theorem 1.1 can be extended to the context of geodesic spaces satisfying a uniform convexity condition which is more general than the ones considered in [9, 10].

2 Preliminaries

Let (X,d) be a metric space. A *geodesic* in X is a mapping $\gamma : [0,l] \to X$ satisfying $d(\gamma(s),\gamma(t)) = |s-t|$ for all $s,t \in [0,l]$. A *geodesic segment* in X is the image $\gamma([0,l])$ of a geodesic $\gamma : [0,l] \to X$. If $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\gamma(l) = y$, we say that the geodesic γ or that the geodesic segment $\gamma([0,l])$ *joins x and y*. X is said to be a *(uniquely) geodesic space* if every two points are joined by a (unique) geodesic. For any $x,y \in X$, a point belongs to a geodesic segment that joins x and y if and only if there exists $t \in [0,1]$ such that $d(z,x) = td(x,y)$ and $d(z,y) = (1-t)d(x,y)$. A midpoint of x and y is a point, denoted by $m(x,y)$, satisfying $d(m(x,y),x) = d(m(x,y),y) = (1/2)d(x,y)$. Note that if X is a complete metric space for which every two points have a midpoint, then X is a geodesic space. See, for instance, [2] for more details on geodesic spaces and the notions discussed below.

In the rest of the paper we assume that (X,d) is a uniquely geodesic space, even if not mentioned explicitly. It follows that every two points x,y of X have a unique midpoint $m(x,y)$.

We say that the metric $d : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ is *convex* if

$$d(m(x,y),a) \le \frac{1}{2}\left(d(x,a) + d(y,a)\right) \qquad \text{for all } a,x,y \in X.$$

In this case X is also called a geodesic space with convex metric.

A nonempty subset $C \subseteq X$ is said to be *convex* if $m(x,y) \in C$ for all $x,y \in C$. If C is a convex set, then a mapping $f : C \to \mathbb{R}$ is *quasi-convex* if

$$f(m(x,y)) \le \max\{f(x), f(y)\} \quad \text{for all } x,y \in C.$$

If strict inequality holds above for any $x, y \in C$, $x \neq y$, then f is *strictly quasi-convex*.

X is said to be *reflexive* if the intersection of any descending sequence of nonempty, bounded, closed and convex subsets of it is nonempty.

X is *uniformly convex* [6] if there exists $\eta : (0, \infty) \times (0, 2] \to (0, 1]$ such that for any $r > 0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$ and for all $a, x, y \in X$,

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} d(x, a) \leq r \\ d(y, a) \leq r \\ d(x, y) \geq \varepsilon r \end{array}\right\} \quad \Rightarrow \quad d\left(m(x, y), a\right) \leq (1 - \eta(r, \varepsilon))r. \tag{2}$$

Such a mapping η is called a *modulus of uniform convexity*. We say that η is *monotone* (resp. *lower semi-continuous from the right*) if for every fixed ε it decreases (resp. is lower semi-continuous from the right) with respect to r. Note that any complete uniformly convex geodesic space which admits a monotone (or lower semi-continuous from the right) modulus of uniform convexity is reflexive (see [5]). Moreover, one can define uniform convexity in geodesic spaces without assuming a priori uniqueness of geodesics between any two points by supposing that (2) holds for all midpoints of x and y. However, it is easy to see that this implies that the space is uniquely geodesic. A discussion on other particular notions of uniform convexity in metric spaces can be found in [8].

Uniformly convex geodesic spaces are a natural generalization of both uniformly convex Banach spaces and CAT(0) spaces. In fact, as pointed out in [6], these spaces admit moduli of uniform convexity that do not depend on r. Another class of uniformly convex geodesic spaces are the so-called *uniform Busemann spaces* defined by Jost [3, Definition 2.2.6, p.50]. Recall that a *Busemann space* is a geodesic space (X, d) satisfying

$$d\left(\gamma_1(l_1/2), \gamma_2(l_2/2)\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(d\left(\gamma_1(0), \gamma_2(0)\right) + d\left(\gamma_1(l_1), \gamma_2(l_2)\right)\right)$$

for all geodesics $\gamma_1 : [0, l_1] \to X$ and $\gamma_2 : [0, l_2] \to X$. Any Busemann space is uniquely geodesic and its metric is convex. We refer to [13] for an extensive study of these spaces. A Busemann space (X, d) is said to be *uniform* if there exists a strictly increasing function $\alpha : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ with $\alpha(0) = 0$ such that for all $a, x, y \in X$,

$$d^2\left(m(x, y), a\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}d^2(x, a) + \frac{1}{2}d^2(y, a) - \alpha(d(x, y)).$$

It is well-known that any CAT(0) space is a uniform Busemann space. One can easily see that any uniform Busemann space is a uniformly convex geodesic space with a lower semi-continuous from the right modulus of uniform convexity given by $\eta(r, \varepsilon) := \alpha(\varepsilon r)/(2r^2)$.

2.1 Some technical results

Let (X, d) be a uniquely geodesic space with convex metric. Define

$$S : X^3 \to [0, \infty), \quad S(a, x, y) = \frac{1}{2}d^2(x, a) + \frac{1}{2}d^2(y, a) - d^2(m(x, y), a).$$

Note that S is nonnegative by the convexity of the metric. For $r > 0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$, define

$$A_{r,\varepsilon} = \{(a, x, y) \in X^3 \mid d(x, a) \leq r, d(y, a) \leq r \text{ and } d(x, y) \geq \varepsilon r\}$$

and

$$\Phi(r, \varepsilon) = \inf\{S(a, x, y) \mid (a, x, y) \in A_{r,\varepsilon}\} \geq 0.$$

Hence, for all $(a, x, y) \in A_{r,\varepsilon}$,

$$d^2(m(x, y), a) \leq \frac{1}{2}d^2(x, a) + \frac{1}{2}d^2(y, a) - \Phi(r, \varepsilon). \tag{3}$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $0 < r \leq s$ and $0 < \varepsilon \leq \delta \leq 2$. Then*

(i) $A_{r,\delta} \subseteq A_{r,\varepsilon}$, hence $\Phi(r, \varepsilon) \leq \Phi(r, \delta)$.

(ii) $A_{r,\varepsilon} \subseteq A_{s,\frac{\varepsilon r}{s}}$, hence $\Phi(r, \varepsilon) \geq \Phi\left(s, \frac{\varepsilon r}{s}\right)$.

(iii) Let $I = [r, s] \times [\varepsilon, 2]$. Then $\Phi(r_1, \varepsilon_1) \geq \Phi\left(s, \frac{\varepsilon r}{s}\right)$ for all $(r_1, \varepsilon_1) \in I$.

Proof. (i) and (ii) are immediate, so we only prove (iii). Let $(r_1, \varepsilon_1) \in I$. Since $r_1 \leq s$, we apply (ii) to conclude that $\Phi(r_1, \varepsilon_1) \geq \Phi\left(s, \frac{\varepsilon_1 r_1}{s}\right)$. Since $r \leq r_1$ and $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1$, we have that $\frac{\varepsilon_1 r_1}{s} \geq \frac{\varepsilon r}{s}$. Applying now (i) we get that $\Phi\left(s, \frac{\varepsilon_1 r_1}{s}\right) \geq \Phi\left(s, \frac{\varepsilon r}{s}\right)$. Thus, $\Phi(r_1, \varepsilon_1) \geq \Phi\left(s, \frac{\varepsilon r}{s}\right)$. □

In [4, Theorem 2.3], the function Φ has been studied for a special class of uniformly convex geodesic spaces, but the proof goes through unchanged in our more general setting. In particular, we get the following result.

Proposition 2.2. *Let X be a uniformly convex geodesic space with convex metric. Then $\Phi(r, \varepsilon) > 0$ for all $r > 0, \varepsilon \in (0, 2]$.*

3 Main result

In this section we prove the following generalization of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (X, d) be a complete uniformly convex geodesic space with convex metric which admits a monotone or lower semi-continuous from the right modulus of uniform convexity and let $P \in \mathcal{P}^1(X)$. Then for all $a \in X$, the function*

$$f_a : X \to \mathbb{R}, \quad f_a(x) = \int_X \left(d^2(x, y) - d^2(y, a)\right) d(Py) \tag{4}$$

has a unique minimum point which does not depend on a, is called the barycenter *of P and is denoted by $b(P)$. If $P \in \mathcal{P}^2(X)$, then*

$$b(P) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{x \in X} \int_X d^2(x, y) d(Py)$$

The main instrument in obtaining the unique minimum point of f_a given by (4) is the following result (see also [7, Proposition 2.3]). For completeness, we briefly sketch its proof.

Proposition 3.2. *Let C be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a reflexive geodesic space X, $f : C \to \mathbb{R}$ be quasi-convex and lower semi-continuous. Assume moreover that for all sequences (x_n) in C,*

$$\text{if } \lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, p) = \infty \text{ for some } p \in X, \text{ then } (f(x_n)) \text{ is not bounded above.} \quad (5)$$

Then f attains its minimum on C. If, in addition, f is strictly quasi-convex, then f attains its minimum at exactly one point.

Proof. Let $\alpha = \inf_{x\in C} f(x)$ and (α_n) be a strictly decreasing sequence of real numbers which tends to α. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $C_n = \{x \in C : f(x) \leq \alpha_n\}$. One can see that (C_n) is a decreasing sequence of nonempty, bounded, closed and convex subsets of X. Thus, $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} C_n \neq \emptyset$, so there exists $x^* \in C$ with $f(x^*) = \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Uniqueness of the minimum point follows immediately when f is strictly quasi-convex. □

3.1 Proof of Theorem 3.1

We remark first that if a minimum point of f_a exists, then it is independent of a, since for arbitrary $b \in X$, the function $f_a - f_b$ is constant.

In order to get the existence of a unique minimum point of f_a, we use Proposition 3.2. It is easy to see that f_a is continuous and that (5) holds. It remains to show that f_a is strictly quasi-convex. Fix $x_0, z_0 \in X$ with $x_0 \neq z_0$. For all $y \in X$, let $r(y) := \max\{d(x_0, y), d(z_0, y)\} > 0$ and $\varepsilon(y) := \dfrac{d(x_0, z_0)}{r(y)} \leq 2$. We get that

$$\begin{aligned}
f_a(m(x_0, z_0)) &= \int_X \left(d^2(m(x_0, z_0), y) - d^2(y, a)\right) d(Py) \\
&\leq \int_X \left(\frac{1}{2}d^2(x_0, y) + \frac{1}{2}d^2(z_0, y) - \Phi(r(y), \varepsilon(y)) - d^2(y, a)\right) d(Py) \\
&\quad \text{since } (y, x_0, z_0) \in A_{r(y),\varepsilon(y)}, \text{ so we can apply (3)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left(f_a(x_0) + f_a(z_0)\right) - \int_X \Phi(r(y), \varepsilon(y)) d(Py) \\
&\leq \max\{f_a(x_0), f_a(z_0)\} - \int_X \Phi(r(y), \varepsilon(y)) d(Py).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $X = \bigcup_{r>0} B(a, r)$ and $P(X) = 1$, there exists $R > 0$ with $P(B(a, R)) > 0$. By Proposition 2.2, $\Phi(r(y), \varepsilon(y)) > 0$ for all $y \in X$. Hence, to obtain strict quasi-convexity of f_a, it is enough to show that

$$\int_{B(a,R)} \Phi(r(y), \varepsilon(y)) d(Py) > 0.$$

To this end, let $y \in B(a,R)$. It follows that $d(x_0,y) \leq d(x_0,a)+d(a,y) \leq d(x_0,a)+R$ and, similarly, $d(z_0,y) \leq d(z_0,a)+d(a,y) \leq d(z_0,a)+R$. Denoting

$$s := \max\{d(x_0,a), d(z_0,a)\} + R,$$

we have that $r(y) \leq s$. Then, for all $y \in B(a,R)$,

$$0 < \frac{d(x_0,z_0)}{2} \leq r(y) \leq s \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \frac{d(x_0,z_0)}{s} \leq \varepsilon(y) \leq 2.$$

Using Lemma 2.1.(iii), we conclude that

$$\Phi(r(y),\varepsilon(y)) \geq \Phi\left(s, \frac{d^2(x_0,z_0)}{2s^2}\right). \tag{6}$$

Since (6) holds for all $y \in B(a,R)$, it follows that

$$\int_{B(a,R)} \Phi(r(y),\varepsilon(y))d(Py) \geq P(B(a,R))\,\Phi\left(s, \frac{d^2(x_0,z_0)}{2s^2}\right) > 0.$$

Hence, we can apply Proposition 3.2 to get the existence of $b(P)$.

Finally, for $P \in \mathcal{P}^2(X)$ let $g : X \to [0,+\infty)$ be defined by

$$g(x) = \int_X d^2(x,y)d(Py).$$

Then $f_a - g$ is constant, so clearly $b(P)$ is the unique minimum point of g. □

Acknowledgements:

Laurenţiu Leuştean was supported by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS - UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0383.

Laurenţiu Leuştean and Alexandru Zaharescu are grateful to Florin Boca for helpful discussions on the subject of this paper.

References

[1] T. Austin, A CAT(0)-valued pointwise ergodic theorem, J. Topol. Anal. 3 (2011), 145-152.

[2] M. Bridson, A. Haefliger, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 319, Springer, Berlin, 1999.

[3] J. Jost, *Nonpositive curvature: geometric and analytic aspects*, Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich, Birkhäuser, Basel-Boston-Berlin, 1997.

[4] M.A. Khamsi, A.R. Khan, Inequalities in metric spaces with applications, Nonlinear Anal. 74 (2011), 4036-4045.

[5] U. Kohlenbach, L. Leuştean, Asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in uniformly convex hyperbolic spaces, J. European Math. Soc. 12 (2010), 71-92.

[6] L. Leuştean, A quadratic rate of asymptotic regularity for CAT(0)-spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 325 (2007), 386-399.

[7] L. Leuştean, Nonexpansive iterations in uniformly convex W-hyperbolic spaces, in A. Leizarowitz, B. S. Mordukhovich, I. Shafrir, A. Zaslavski (Eds.), *Nonlinear Analysis and Optimization I: Nonlinear Analysis*, Contemp. Math. 513, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010, pp. 193-209.

[8] L. Leuştean, A. Nicolae, A note on an ergodic theorem in weakly uniformly convex geodesic spaces, Arch. Math. (Basel) 105 (2015), 467-477.

[9] M. Kell, Uniformly convex metric spaces, Anal. Geom. Metr. Spaces 2 (2014), 359-380.

[10] K. Kuwae, Jensen's inequality on convex spaces, Calc. Var. 49 (2014), 1359-1378.

[11] A. Navas, An L^1 ergodic theorem with values in a non-positively curved space via a canonical barycenter map, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 33 (2013), 609-623.

[12] S.- I. Ohta, Barycenters in Alexandrov spaces of curvature bounded below, Adv. Geom. 12 (2012), 571-587.

[13] A. Papadopoulos, *Metric spaces, convexity and nonpositive curvature*, IRMA Lectures in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics 6, European Math. Soc., 2005.

[14] K.-T. Sturm, Probability measures on metric spaces of nonpositive curvature, in: P. Auscher, T. Coulhon, A. Grigor'yan (Eds.), *Heat kernels and analysis on manifolds, graphs, and metric spaces*, Contemp. Math. 338, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003, pp. 357-390.